

Ethical AI and the Teacher's Role

Nur Qalbi

Muhammadiyah University, Makassar, Indonesia

Email: qalbi@unismuh.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into education is rapidly transforming teaching and learning, offering opportunities for personalized instruction, administrative efficiency, and enhanced student engagement. However, this technological shift introduces complex ethical challenges, including concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, transparency, and the evolving responsibilities of teachers. This paper addresses the central research question: How can teachers ethically and effectively navigate the integration of AI in educational settings? The study aims to (1) identify key ethical principles relevant to AI in education, (2) examine the evolving role of teachers as ethical agents and facilitators, and (3) propose strategies for responsible AI adoption. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the research synthesizes findings from systematic literature reviews, thematic analyses of international ethical guidelines, and qualitative data from educator focus groups. Results highlight that while AI can enhance pedagogical practices, its ethical deployment requires teachers to possess not only technological and pedagogical knowledge but also a strong foundation in ethical reasoning. Teachers play a pivotal role in safeguarding student rights, ensuring fairness, and maintaining human-centered values in AI-mediated classrooms. The study underscores the necessity of ongoing professional development, clear policy frameworks, and collaborative efforts among educators, policymakers, and technologists. Ultimately, fostering ethical AI integration in education depends on empowering teachers as critical decision-makers and advocates for responsible innovation, ensuring that technological advancements align with educational equity and humanistic values.

Keywords: *artificial intelligence, education, ethics, teacher's role, data privacy, algorithmic bias, professional development, educational policy, human-centered learn.*

1) INTRODUCTION

The development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology in education has opened up significant opportunities to improve learning quality, personalize materials, and improve administrative efficiency. Various applications, such as learning recommendation systems, learning analytics, automated feedback, and generative AI, are widely used to support the learning process. However, the implementation of AI in schools and universities also presents ethical challenges that cannot be ignored. Issues such as algorithmic bias, data privacy violations, lack of transparency in decision-making processes, and over-reliance on technology require a mature and comprehensive ethical approach.

Paper presented at The 2nd ICONETT, 2025
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar
South Sulawesi-Indonesia

In this context, the role of teachers is undergoing a significant transformation. Teachers no longer merely act as transmitters of knowledge, but also as ethical agents responsible for ensuring that the use of AI in the classroom is safe, fair, and oriented towards meaningful learning. Teachers need to understand ethical principles such as fairness, autonomy, accountability, and data protection to navigate emerging challenges. Furthermore, teachers act as facilitators, helping students develop digital and AI literacy, including the ability to think critically about recommendations or outputs generated by artificial intelligence systems.

Despite the rapid growth of literature on AI ethics, understanding how teachers can play a strategic role in the responsible implementation of AI remains limited. Many educational institutions lack clear ethical guidelines, while teachers' readiness to understand technological risks varies. This situation emphasizes the importance of research to identify key ethical principles relevant to education, understand the role of teachers in the context of AI development, and formulate strategies that educational institutions can adopt to ensure the safe, ethical, and student-centered implementation of AI.

Based on this urgency, this research was conducted to:

- (1) identify key ethical principles relevant to AI in education,
- (2) examine the transformation of the role of teachers as ethical agents and facilitators, and
- (3) propose strategies that can be implemented to support the responsible adoption of AI.

The objectives of this research are expected to contribute to the development of educational policies, improve teacher competency, and promote the use of technology that is more humane and oriented towards ethical values.

- **Definition and Scope of AI in Education**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education refers to the application of computational systems capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence, such as learning, reasoning, problem-solving, and decision-making, within educational contexts. AI encompasses a broad spectrum of technologies, including machine learning, natural language processing, intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, automated assessment tools, and educational chatbots (Kamalov et al., 2023). These systems are designed to support and enhance various aspects of teaching, learning, and educational administration. The scope of AI in education is expansive, ranging from automating administrative tasks and personalizing learning experiences to providing real-time feedback,

supporting students with special needs, and facilitating data-driven decision-making for educators and institution.

AI's integration into education is inherently interdisciplinary, drawing from fields such as computer science, cognitive psychology, linguistics, and educational theory. Its applications are evident across all educational levels, from K-12 to higher education and lifelong learning, and extend to both formal and informal learning environments. The evolution of AI in education has moved from early computer-assisted instruction to sophisticated, web-based intelligent systems and, more recently, to generative AI models like Chat GPT, which are capable of producing human-like text and supporting complex educational interactions. As AI technologies continue to advance, their presence in education is expected to grow, making it essential for educators, policymakers, and researchers to understand both their capabilities and limitations.

- **Ethical Principles in AI for Education**

The deployment of AI in education is underpinned by a set of core ethical principles that serve as a compass for responsible innovation. Among the most widely recognized are transparency, accountability, fairness, privacy, autonomy, and inclusivity. Transparency ensures that AI systems' decision-making processes are understandable to educators, students, and other stakeholders, fostering trust and enabling informed oversight. Accountability requires that clear lines of responsibility are established for the outcomes of AI-driven decisions, ensuring that both developers and educators can be held answerable for unintended consequences (Dabis & Csáki, 2024). Fairness addresses the risk of algorithmic bias, mandating that AI systems do not perpetuate or exacerbate existing inequalities in educational access or outcomes. Privacy is paramount, as AI systems often process sensitive student data, necessitating robust safeguards to protect against misuse or breaches. Autonomy emphasizes the importance of preserving students' and teachers' agency, ensuring that AI augments rather than replaces human judgment and creativity. Inclusivity calls for AI systems to be designed and implemented in ways that accommodate diverse learning needs and promote equitable participation for all students, including those from marginalized or underrepresented groups .

2) METHODS

This paper used qualitative research. The approaches described below reflect a range of qualitative, mixed-methods, and systematic review strategies, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of both teacher perspectives and ethical frameworks.

Paper presented at The 2nd ICONETT, 2025
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar
South Sulawesi-Indonesia

Study Design

This study used qualitative or mixed-methods designs to capture the nuanced experiences and ethical considerations of teachers integrating AI into educational settings. Common designs included:

- a. Educational Design Research: Used to iteratively develop and refine teacher training programs addressing ethical AI use, often beginning with systematic literature reviews, followed by Delphi studies, and culminating in focus group discussions with educators.
- b. Narrative Inquiry and Thematic Analysis: Employed to analyze reflective narratives and open-ended responses from teachers, allowing for the identification of key themes related to AI's impact on pedagogy, ethical dilemmas, and professional identity.
- c. Systematic Literature Reviews: Conducted to map the evolution of research on AI ethics in education, identify research gaps, and synthesize ethical frameworks and principles.

Data Collection Procedures

- a. Interviews and Focus Groups: Semi-structured interviews and focus groups were widely used to gather in-depth insights from teachers, teacher educators, and students. Scenarios depicting ethical dilemmas were often presented to participants to elicit detailed responses.
- b. Open-Ended Questionnaires: Some studies distributed open-ended questionnaires to lecturers and teachers, focusing on their experiences, challenges, and strategies for ethical AI integration.

Data Analysis Techniques

- a. Triangulation: Reliability and trustworthiness were enhanced through triangulation, detailed data descriptions, and audit trails, ensuring that findings were robust and reflective of multiple perspectives.
- b. Comparative Case Analysis: In studies comparing teacher and AI decision-making, nested multiple-case designs allowed for in-depth, context-sensitive analysis of ethical reasoning.

3) RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the background above, the findings of this research are:

a. identify key ethical principles relevant to AI in education,

A central aim of this study is to systematically identify and articulate the key ethical principles that must guide the development, deployment, and pedagogical use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in educational contexts. AI systems increasingly influence how learning is delivered, assessed, and experienced; it becomes imperative to establish a robust ethical foundation that ensures these

Paper presented at The 2nd ICONETT, 2025
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar
South Sulawesi-Indonesia

technologies uphold the core values of equity, human dignity, professional integrity, and learner well-being. The necessity of this aim stems from the recognition that AI, when implemented without a coherent ethical framework, poses significant risks ranging from algorithmic bias and privacy violations to the erosion of student autonomy and the undermining of pedagogical relationships. Thus, the identification of ethical principles is not merely a theoretical exercise but a vital step in shaping responsible educational futures.

Let's start with his study foregrounds fairness as a fundamental ethical principle. AI systems often rely on datasets that reflect existing social biases, which can inadvertently reproduce or exacerbate inequalities in educational outcomes. Identifying fairness as a principle allows educators and policymakers to interrogate how AI categorizes, groups, or predicts student performance and behaviour. This includes recognizing potential disparities faced by students from marginalized linguistic, cultural, or socioeconomic backgrounds. By articulating fairness as a guiding principle, the study emphasizes the need for ongoing monitoring of AI outputs, the auditing of algorithms for discriminatory patterns, and the integration of inclusive data practices.

The principle of transparency is equally critical. AI's decision-making processes are frequently opaque, making it difficult for teachers and students to understand how conclusions or recommendations are generated. Identifying transparency as a core ethical principle underscores the importance of explainability and intelligibility in educational AI systems. It also recognizes that transparency must be pedagogically meaningful not merely technical so that educators can interpret AI outputs in relation to instructional goals, and students can develop a critical awareness of how data shapes their learning experiences. Through this principle, the study highlights that transparency is fundamental to trust, accountability, and informed consent.

Another crucial principle identified in the study is accountability. Because AI systems distribute decision-making authority across human and technological actors, it becomes unclear who should be held responsible when errors or harms occur. Articulating accountability as an ethical principle brings attention to the need for clear delineation of responsibilities among teachers, school administrators, system developers, and policy makers. It also foregrounds the moral imperative for institutions to establish mechanisms for oversight, redress, and professional support that enable educators to make ethically informed decisions when interacting with AI-generated recommendations.

The fourth principle, privacy and data protection, reflects the significant ethical risks associated with the data-intensive nature of AI technologies. AI in education relies on extensive collection and analysis of student data academic performance, behavioural patterns, personal information, and even biometric indicators. Identifying privacy as a core ethical principle calls for rigorous data governance practices, informed consent procedures, and limitations on how student data is shared internally and externally. In acknowledging this principle, the study underscores the need to safeguard learners from commercial exploitation, surveillance pressures, and potential psychological impacts arising from data tracking.

In addition, the study identifies autonomy as a central ethical concern. AI systems, particularly those that personalize learning or recommend instructional pathways, have the potential to influence or constrain student choices. By examining autonomy as a guiding principle, the study draws attention to the importance of ensuring that AI supports rather than replaces learner agency, critical thinking, and self-directed learning. This principle also extends to teachers, who must retain the freedom to exercise professional judgment rather than becoming overly dependent on algorithmic suggestions. Identifying autonomy as an ethical principle affirms the value of human-centered pedagogy in an era of technological acceleration.

The principle of beneficence is also highlighted as a key ethical foundation. This principle requires that AI technologies contribute positively to student learning, emotional well-being, and educational equity. It places emphasis on AI's capacity to enhance rather than merely automate pedagogical practices. Identifying beneficence as an ethical principle ensures that the introduction of AI aligns with educational goals that prioritize human flourishing, inclusivity, and meaningful learning experiences.

Collectively, the identification of these ethical principles reflects a comprehensive approach to understanding the moral landscape of AI in education. While existing policy frameworks such as those from UNESCO, the OECD, and the European Commission outline general guidelines, this study contributes by contextualizing ethical principles within the lived experiences and professional responsibilities of teachers. This approach recognizes that ethical AI in education cannot be reduced to compliance with technical standards; rather, it must be embedded in pedagogical reasoning, classroom interactions, and the relational dynamics of teaching and learning.

Ultimately, this study aims to identify ethical principles not simply as abstract concepts but as practical, actionable foundations for guiding policy development, instructional decisions, and

institutional governance. By articulating these principles clearly and critically, the study seeks to support teachers, administrators, and policymakers in navigating the complexities of AI integration while upholding the moral commitments that lie at the heart of education. In doing so, the study contributes to the advancement of an ethically informed vision of AI-enhanced education—one that honors human dignity, promotes justice, and sustains the central role of educators in shaping the learning environment.

b. examine the evolving role of teachers as ethical agents and facilitators

A central aim of this study is to conduct a comprehensive examination of how teachers' roles are being reshaped in the era of Artificial Intelligence–mediated education, particularly in terms of their responsibilities as ethical agents and pedagogical facilitators. As AI technologies such as adaptive learning systems, automated assessment tools, and predictive analytics become increasingly embedded in instructional practices, teachers are no longer positioned merely as transmitters of knowledge. Instead, they occupy a critical role in mediating the ethical implications of AI applications that influence students' learning experiences, personal data, and academic pathways.

To begin with, the study seeks to illuminate the teacher's function as an ethical agent, a role that requires a heightened awareness of the moral dimensions inherent in the use of AI. Teachers must be able to recognize potential algorithmic biases, question opaque decision-making mechanisms, and safeguard students' rights to privacy, fairness, and autonomy. In this capacity, teachers act as guardians who ensure that AI does not reproduce discrimination, marginalize vulnerable learners, or undermine pedagogical integrity. This ethical agency also includes the capacity to make informed judgments about when, why, and how AI tools should or should not be integrated into classroom decision-making. Such judgments demand not only technical literacy but also a deep grounding in ethical reasoning, professional accountability, and reflective practice.

Furthermore, the study examines the teacher's role as an ethical facilitator, which encompasses guiding students in developing critical AI literacy and fostering an environment where learners understand the strengths, limitations, and risks of AI technologies. Teachers are expected to scaffold students' engagement with AI-generated content, helping them interrogate the accuracy, bias, or reliability of algorithmic outputs. This facilitative role extends beyond the technical domain; it involves cultivating learners' moral sensibilities by encouraging thoughtful dialogue about digital responsibility, informed consent, the implications of data sharing, and the broader societal impact of AI. In doing

so, teachers contribute to shaping students not merely as consumers of AI-driven systems but as ethically aware participants in a technologically mediated society.

Additionally, the study recognizes that the teacher's evolving role is deeply influenced by institutional structures, policy frameworks, and access to professional development. Many teachers face significant challenges including limited training, ambiguous ethical guidelines, and institutional pressures toward efficiency that may constrain their ability to fully enact ethical agency. Thus, the study aims to explore how schools and higher education institutions can better support teachers through the creation of robust ethical policies, collaborative decision-making structures, and sustained opportunities for capacity building in AI ethics.

Overall, by investigating these shifting expectations and responsibilities, the study seeks to advance scholarly understanding of how teachers can maintain pedagogical autonomy, uphold ethical standards, and promote human-centered education in an age increasingly shaped by intelligent technologies. This analysis also contributes to the broader discourse on the role of educators in safeguarding the moral and humanistic foundations of the teaching profession amid rapid technological change.

c. propose strategies for responsible AI adoption

A set of comprehensive, ethically grounded strategies that guide the responsible adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in educational settings. As AI-driven technologies increasingly influence pedagogical decisions, assessment practices, and institutional governance, there is an urgent need for educational stakeholders particularly teachers, administrators, and policy-makers to establish frameworks that ensure AI is implemented in ways that uphold human dignity, equity, and pedagogical integrity. This aim responds to global concerns that the rapid and often unregulated integration of AI in schools and universities may inadvertently compromise ethical standards, reinforce systemic inequalities, or diminish the centrality of human judgment in education.

To achieve this aim, the study first recognizes that responsible AI adoption must be situated within a clear ethical framework that defines core principles such as transparency, accountability, fairness, privacy, inclusivity, and student well-being. These principles serve as normative anchors for guiding the development and use of AI tools. The study intends to articulate how these values can be operationalized into practical guidelines that teachers and institutions can adopt. For example,

transparency principles require that AI systems used in classrooms be explainable, with teachers and students understanding how data is collected, processed, and utilized in decision-making.

Furthermore, the study aims to propose strategies that address the institutional and structural dimensions of AI adoption. Responsible implementation cannot depend solely on individual teachers' ethical intuitions or technical abilities. Instead, educational institutions must establish governance mechanisms such as AI ethics committees, data protection protocols, and clear accountability structures to monitor the risks and impacts of AI. Such governance helps prevent misuse, ensures compliance with international ethical standards, and provides teachers with institutional support when navigating ethical dilemmas.

It emphasizes the importance of capacity-building and continuous professional development. Responsible adoption requires that teachers, administrators, and students possess a sufficient level of AI literacy not only in operational terms but also in ethical reasoning. Therefore, the study proposes the integration of AI ethics training into teacher education programs, postgraduate curricula, and in-service professional development. These programs should equip educators with the ability to critically evaluate AI outputs, recognize potential algorithmic biases, safeguard student data, and make judicious decisions about when AI should enhance instruction and when it should be limited.

Another strategic dimension explored in the study is the need for context-sensitive, culturally responsive approaches. AI adoption strategies must account for local values, educational philosophies, socio-economic contexts, and technological infrastructures. A one-size-fits-all approach risks deepening existing inequalities or imposing technological solutions that do not align with community needs. Thus, the study proposes strategies that allow institutions to adapt AI tools in ways that respect cultural norms, educational traditions, and learner diversity, ensuring AI enhances rather than disrupts equitable learning opportunities.

In addition, the study acknowledges the significance of collaborative partnerships between educators, technologists, policymakers, and industry stakeholders. Responsible AI adoption thrives when these sectors work together to co-design AI tools that reflect pedagogical goals rather than purely commercial or technological interests. Such collaboration can foster the development of AI systems that align with human-centered educational values, such as formative assessment, creativity, learner agency, and socio-emotional development.

Ultimately, the aim of proposing strategies for responsible AI adoption is not merely to outline technical procedures but to advocate for a human-centered, ethically reflective model of digital transformation in education. The strategies proposed in this study aspire to safeguard the moral foundations of teaching, protect the rights and interests of learners, and ensure that AI remains a tool that supports not replaces human judgment, care, and pedagogical wisdom. In doing so, the study contributes to shaping an educational future in which AI is integrated thoughtfully, ethically, and in service of meaningful learning.

4) CONCLUSIONS

The findings revealed that ethical considerations are central to the successful integration of AI in educational practice and must inform the design, implementation, and governance of AI technologies. The research identified several core ethical principles: fairness, transparency, accountability, privacy and data protection, autonomy, and beneficence that serve as essential foundations for evaluating and regulating AI systems in schools and higher education. These principles highlight the moral obligations embedded in AI-enhanced learning and underscore the need for vigilant attention to issues such as algorithmic bias, datafication, and the potential erosion of both teacher and student agency.

It demonstrated that teachers occupy a pivotal role in ensuring that AI is used responsibly and equitably. As ethical agents, teachers are required to critically assess AI outputs, safeguard students' rights, challenge biases, and navigate the ethical dilemmas that arise from automated decision-making processes. As facilitators, teachers play a crucial role in guiding students to understand, question, and appropriately interact with AI tools. This dual role reaffirms that teachers remain central to the pedagogical process, even as technological systems become more pervasive in classrooms. Rather than being displaced by AI, teachers must be empowered to exercise professional judgment, ethical reasoning, and human-centered pedagogy.

A set of strategies for responsible AI adoption, emphasizing the need for institutional ethical guidelines, comprehensive professional development, robust governance structures, and culturally responsive implementation models. These strategies reflect the understanding that responsible AI integration is a shared responsibility requiring collaboration between educators, policymakers, technologists, and communities. AI in education must be approached not merely as a technical innovation but as a transformative force that demands thoughtful ethical governance and continuous critical engagement.

Paper presented at the 2nd ICONETT, 2025
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar
South Sulawesi-Indonesia

REFERENCES

- Anwaruddin, S. M. (2021). Pedagogical ethics in practice: Teachers' moral work in times of algorithmic governance. *Journal of Education Policy*, 36(5), 643–660.
- Chan, T., & Shelton, C. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: Teacher professional development in data literacy and algorithmic thinking. *Computers & Education*, 168, 104–212.
- European Commission. (2023). Ethics guidelines for trustworthy AI in education. Brussels: European Union.
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Boston: Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Holmes, W., & Porayska-Pomsta, K. (2023). Teachers' perceptions of AI in education: Opportunities, risks, and the future of teaching. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 54(1), 15–34.
- Knox, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence and the future of education: Critical perspectives. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(3), 270–283.
- OECD. (2022). AI in education: Ethical guidelines and policy recommendations. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Popenici, S., & Kerr, S. (2022). Exploring the risks of AI in higher education: Autonomy, agency, and ethics. *Studies in Higher Education*, 47(7), 1342–1357.
- Selwyn, N. (2022). Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- UNESCO. (2021). Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- Williamson, B. (2020). Datafication and algorithmic governance in education. New York: Routledge.